

Ottoman Chronogram Poems:  
Formal, Factual, and Fictional Aspects

# Otto Spies Memorial Series

*edd. Stephan Conermann & Gül Şen*

**Volume 9**

Edith Gülçin Ambros

Ottoman Chronogram Poems:  
Formal, Factual, and Fictional Aspects



EBVERLAG

Bibliographic information published by  
Deutsche Nationalbibliothek  
Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this  
publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;  
detailed bibliographic data are available at  
[<http://dnb.ddb.de>].

**All rights reserved.** No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without written permission from the publisher or author, except in the case of a reviewer, who may quote brief passages embodied in critical articles or in a review.

Images on the cover: *top*: Aqueduct of Mustafa Pasha,  
(late 1490s), near Skopje  
Photo © Machiel Kiel, 2005.  
*below*: Entrance to the “Institut für  
Orient- und Asienwissenschaften”  
formerly called Orientalisches  
Seminar, Bonn  
Photo © Gül Şen, 2015.

Layout: Rainer Kuhl

Copyright ©: EB-Verlag Dr. Brandt  
Berlin 2021

ISBN: 978-3-86893-360-4

Homepage: [www.ebverlag.de](http://www.ebverlag.de)

E-Mail: [post@ebverlag.de](mailto:post@ebverlag.de)

Printed in Germany

# Ottoman Chronogram Poems: Formal, Factual, and Fictional Aspects\*

Edith Gülçin Ambros

Polonius – What do you read, my lord?  
Hamlet – Words, words, words.  
Hamlet, Act II, Scene II

## A Genre for All Occasions

The chronogram in Persian, Ottoman, and Arabic literature<sup>1</sup> is an encoded date, the code being the numerical value of the letters of the Arabo-Persian alphabet, *ebced* (Ar. *abjad* ا ب ج د).<sup>2</sup> In Turkish this construct is called *tārīḥ* (< *ta'riḥ*, literally “date; history”, pl. *tevārīḥ*), the act of composing it, *tārīḥ düşürmek*.<sup>3</sup> Ottoman chronograms may consist of one or more words that are either independent of any poetic construct or are

---

\* I thank Ercan Akyol and Florian Schwarz most sincerely for giving me valuable information.

<sup>1</sup> This is the general opinion on the sequence of origin; on this, Ottoman writing of chronograms, and detailed bibliographic information see Turgut Karabey, “Tarih Düşürme”, *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslâm Ansiklopedisi*, Istanbul: TDV İslâm Araştırmaları Merkezi, 2011, <https://islamansiklopedisi.org.tr/tarih-dusurme> (accessed 17 April 2020). For further bibliographic information see Alev Masarwa, “Performing the Occasion: The chronograms of Māmāyya ar-Rūmī (930–985 or 987/1534–1577 or 1579)”, Stephan Conermann / Gül Şen (eds.), *The Mamluk-Ottoman Transition: Continuity and Change in Egypt and Bilād al-Shām in the Sixteenth Century*, Bonn: Bonn University Press, 2017, footnote 5 on pp. 178–79.

<sup>2</sup> For information on *ebced* see Mustafa İsmet Uzun, “Ebced”, *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslâm Ansiklopedisi*, Istanbul: TDV İslâm Araştırmaları Merkezi, 1994, <https://islamansiklopedisi.org.tr/ebced> (accessed 17 April 2020).

<sup>3</sup> For detailed information and extensive exemplification of Ottoman chronograms see İsmail Yakıt, *Türk-İslâm Kültüründe Ebced Hesabı ve Tarih Düşürme*, Istanbul: Ötüken, 1992. For an example of the use of *ebced* outside the composition of chronograms, see Fikret Turan on the use of *ebced* in the interpretation of dreams: “Eski Bir Türkçe Tabirnamede *Ebced Hesabı*”, *Bir*, 9–10 (1998), pp. 671–84.

imbedded in a matrix of verses of varying length. In the latter case, the chronogram itself usually makes up a hemistich, and the whole poem is composed according to the rules of Arabo-Persian prosody (*‘arūd*). Such a poem, with one or more embedded chronograms, is literally a “chronogram poem” and called *tārīḥ ṣi’ri/tārīḥ manzūmesi* (“chronogram poem”) in Turkish. However, more often than not, it is simply called *tārīḥ* (“chronogram; date”), so that the difference between a chronogram and a chronogram poem is not evident. Yet this difference needs to be kept in mind, so in the following the term “chronogram” will refer only to the chronogram word, words, or hemistich without a matrix, whereas the term “chronogram poem” will refer to a chronogram with a matrix.

Due to formal and thematic characteristics that are special to them, chronogram poems constitute a literary genre. This is a genre that not only conveys historical dates but reflects personal and social attitudes. As the genre was extremely popular with the Ottomans through the centuries, there are innumerable chronograms and chronogram poems waiting to be studied systematically. Clearly, these literary compositions contain new or additional information on historical, literary, and social life. Less clear seems to be the need for caution in interpreting them or taking their message too literally. The need for corroboration through external sources cannot be stressed enough. The present study will give an overview of these aspects of chronograms and chronogram poems.

The word, words or hemistichs that constitute chronograms vary in informativeness. There are instances when the wording is such that the reason for writing it is only known to the initiated, who, though numerous contemporaneously, get less and less in number as time goes by. And the later readers of such chronograms can only understand the chronogram fully with the aid of outside help. The following chronogram is a good example of this.

Sultan Süleymān I the Magnificent (r. 1520–66) composed the following chronogram on the death of his son Meḥemmed in 950/1543.

“*Şehzâdeler güzîdesi Sulţân Meĥammedüm*”<sup>4</sup>

شہزادہلر گزیدہسی سلطان محمدم<sup>5</sup>

132 + 150 + 116 + 552 = 950

“The choicest of princes, my Prince Meĥammed.”<sup>6</sup>

The total of the numerical values of the letters in this chronogram is 950; this *hicrî* date corresponds to *milâdî* or CE 1543. So, the chronogram is correct.

It is reported that sultan Süleymân loved his son Meĥammed very much and this simple naming of the prince expresses great affection.<sup>7</sup> However, the wording itself does not imply the beloved son’s death. The author being the sultan and the events concerning him and his family being of importance and interest to the population, the people in the know would probably remember for a long time that the occasion for his composing this chronogram was the death of this beloved son of his. However, this chronogram is not as informative as it could have been because it does not say or hint at why it was composed.

In comparison, the following chronogram written in the 18<sup>th</sup> century by Silahşor İbrahim Yâver (d. 1188/1774–75?), a not very well-known poet who was skilled in composing chronograms, on the death of his daughter is much more informative.

<sup>4</sup> *Muhibbî Dîvânü – İzahlı Metin – Kanûnî Sultan Süleyman*, Coşkun Ak (ed.), Ankara: Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı Yayınları, 1987, p. 5. Metre: *mużârî*: – – u / – u – u / u – – u / – u –.

The transcription of verses quoted from various sources has been unified by me. All chronograms will be written in bold type.

<sup>5</sup> Gemination (not indicated here by the sign *teşdid* over the second letter *mim*) is ignored in the calculation of *târîhs*; on rules for chronograms and the table of numerical values see the section “Pitfalls of orthography and puzzles of composition”.

<sup>6</sup> All translations are my own unless otherwise noted.

<sup>7</sup> Sultan Süleymân had the architect Sinân build (in 1543–48) the Şehzadebaşı Mosque in Istanbul in memory of his son *şehzâde* (prince) Meĥammed.